

















Sethi, P. & Hilaluddin. 2001. Structuring financial empowerment for localized development with Joint Forest Management (JFM): examples from Madhya Pradesh, India. *Sustainable Development*, 9: 87–102.

Shankar, U., Hedge, R. & Bawa, K.S. 1998. extraction of non-timber forest products in the forests of Biligiri Rangan Hills, Inida: fuelwood pressure and management options. *Economic Botany*, 52: 320–336.

Skowno, A.I. & Bond, W.J. 2003. Bird community composition in an actively managed savanna reserve, importance of vegetation structure and vegetation composition. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 12: 2279-2294.

Sokal, R.R. & Rohlf, F.J. 1995. *Biometry: Principals and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research.* New York: W.H. Freeman and Company.

Thiollay, J. 1999. Responses of an avian community to rain forest degradation. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 8: 513-534.

Table 1. Socio-economic profile of the respondents

| Tribe | Age (years) | | Education (class) | | Family size | | % Literacy rate | |
|------------------|-------------|------|-------------------|------|-------------|------|-----------------|------------|
| | Mean | S.E. | Mean | S.E. | Mean | S.E. | Literate | Illiterate |
| Angami (N = 33) | 48.88 | 3.43 | 7.24 | 0.77 | 6.3 | 0.46 | 84.6 | 15.4 |
| Apatani (N = 33) | 45.27 | 3.17 | 5.79 | 1.0 | 6.21 | 0.51 | 57.6 | 42.4 |
| Nishi (N = 30) | 41.57 | 2.94 | 4.87 | 0.98 | 7.53 | 0.85 | 53.3 | 46.7 |
| Mizo (N = 38) | 51.45 | 2.34 | 7.24 | 0.77 | 7.37 | 0.41 | 94.7 | 5.3 |

Data at 95% confidence leve

Table 2. Forest-based plant biomass extraction patterns (mean \pm CI) in the Northeast

| Mode of Income | Angami (N = 33) | | Apatani (N = 33) | | Nishi (N = 30) | | Mizo (N = 38) | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Plant Resource | Extraction | Consumption | Extraction | Consumption | Extraction | Consumption | Extraction | Consumption |
| Timber (Meter³/household/annum) | 27.61±11.9 9 | 26.71± 11.99 | 419.09 ± 242.46 | 328.09 ± 131.05 | 100.91 ± 58.93 | 74.91 ± 44.01 | 159.16 ± 209.4 | 1.27 ± 0.41 |
| Bamboo (Number of culms/household/annum) | 232.06 ± 195.06 | 48.96 ± 24.85 | 1134.42 ± 356.2 | 310.09 ± 78.34 | 131.93 ± 58.31 | 131.93 ± 58.31 | 3102.45 ± 2642.6 | 95.34 ± 36.47 |
| Fuelwood (tonnes/household/ annum) | 8.3 ± 2.9 | 8.0 ± 2.8 | 14.6 ± 3.0 | 14.6 ± 3.0 | 21.9 ± 7.2 | 20.5 ± 6.4 | 8.3 ± 2.9 | 7.2 ± 1.9 |
| Thach (Number of bundles/household/ annum) | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 107.76 ± 54.52 | 107.76 ± 54.52 | 2.42 ± 1.69 | 2.42 ± 1.69 |
| Other NTFPs (Qn/household/annum) | 1.78 ± 1.13 | 1.75 ± 1.14 | 1.56 ± 1.21 | 1.36 ± 1.1 | 5.16 ± 3.76 | 4.93 ± 3.77 | 0.68 ± 0.28 | 0.51 ± 0.3 |

India Data at 95% confidence level

Table 3. Pearson's correlation coefficients between socio-economic factors and forest-based plant biomass harvest rates of studied communities

| Forest Product | Angami (N = | Angami (N = 33) | | Apatani (N = 33) | | Nishi (N = 30) | | Mizo (N = 38) | |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|------------------|-------|----------------|-------|---------------|--|
| | Age | Education | Age | Education | Age | Education | Age | Education | |
| Timber | 0.35* | -0.21 | 0.04 | 0.08 | -0.16 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.10 | |
| Bamboo | -0.20 | 0.07 | -0.14 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.23 | -0.04 | |
| Fuelwood | -0.18 | 0.28 | -0.06 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.11 | -0.02 | -0.20 | |
| Other NTFPs | 0.10 | -0.32 | -0.14 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.09 | -0.18 | -0.07 | |

* Denotes level of significance (P < 0.05)